arXiv:math-ph/0406061v2 4 Nov 2005

July 12, 2005

Remarkable identities related to the (quantum) elliptic Calogero-Sutherland model

Edwin Langmann

Mathematical Physics, Physics KTH, AlbaNova, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

Abstract

We present remarkable functional identities related to the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland (eCS) system. We derive them from a second quantization of the eCS model within a quantum field theory model of anyons on a circle and at finite temperature. The identities involve two eCS Hamiltonians with arbitrary and, in general, different particle numbers N and M, and a particular function of $N + M$ variables arising as anyon correlation function of N particles and M anti-particles. In addition to identities obtained from anyons with the same statistics parameter λ, we also obtain "dual" relations involving "mixed" correlation functions of anyons with two different statistics parameters λ and $1/\lambda$. We also give alternative, elementary proofs of these identities by direct computations.

PACS: 02.30.Ik, 03.65.-w
MSC-class: 35Q58, 81T40

1 Background and result

The elliptic Calogero-Sutherland (eCS) system is a quantum mechanical model of an arbitrary number, N, of particles moving on a circle of length 2π and interacting with 2-body potentials given by the Weierstrass elliptic functions $\wp$ [C, Su, OS]. More specifically, this model is defined by the differential operator

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \; + \; 2\lambda(\lambda-1) \sum_{1\leq j<k\leq N} V(x_j - x_k) \tag{1}$$

where $-\pi \leq x_j \leq \pi$ are coordinates on the circle, $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter determining the coupling strength, and

$$V(r) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{4\sin^2[(r+\mathrm{i}\beta m)/2]}\,, \quad \beta > 0 \tag{2}$$

is essentially the Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp$ with periods 2π and $\mathrm{i}\beta$,

$$V(z) = \wp(z) + c_0, \quad c_0 = \frac{1}{12} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\sinh^2[(\beta m)/2]} \tag{3}$$

(see Appendix A.1. in [L4], e.g.).

In this paper we obtain and prove various remarkable identities involving eCS Hamiltonians and special functions of many variables constructed from the following building block,

$$\theta(z) = \sin(z/2) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2q^{2n}\cos(z) + q^{4n}), \quad q = \mathrm{e}^{-\beta/2}, \tag{4}$$

which is essentially the Jacobi Theta function ϑ_1,

$$\theta(z) = \frac{1}{2q^{1/4}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^{2n})}\, \vartheta_1(\tfrac{1}{2}z) \tag{5}$$

(see Section 21.3 in [WW], e.g.). We derive these results from the quantum field theory construction in [L2]. We collect all these identities in the following theorem.

Theorem: *Let*

$$F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\theta(x_k-x_j)^{\lambda}\prod_{1\le j<k\le M}\theta(y_j-y_k)^{\lambda}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=1}^{M}\theta(x_j-y_k)^{\lambda}} \tag{6}$$

with $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{C}^N$ and $\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{C}^M$ and $\theta(z)$ defined in Eq. (4). This function obeys the identity

$$\left[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) - H_{\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y}) + 2(N-M)\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} - c_{N,M}\right] F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = 0 \tag{7}$$

with $H = H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x})$ the eCS Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) and the constant

$$\begin{aligned} c_{N,M} &= \lambda^2\Big[N(N-1) - M(M-1)\Big]c_0 \\ &+(N-M)\lambda^2\Big[N(N-1) + M(M-1) - 2NM\Big]c_1 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{12} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{2q^{2n}}{(1-q^{2n})^2}, \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{12}. \tag{9}$$

Moreover, a similar identity holds true for the function

$$\tilde{F}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\theta(x_k-x_j)^{\lambda}\prod_{1\le j<k\le M}\theta(y_j-y_k)^{1/\lambda}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=1}^{M}\theta(x_j-y_k), \tag{10}$$

namely

$$\left[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda H_{1/\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y}) + 2(\lambda N + M)\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} - \tilde{c}_{N,M}\right]\tilde{F}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = 0 \tag{11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}_{N,M} = &\Big[\lambda^2 N(N-1) + M(M-1)/\lambda + (1+\lambda)NM\Big]c_0 \\ &+(\lambda N + M)\Big[\lambda N(N-1) + M(M-1)/\lambda + 2NM\Big]c_1.\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

It is interesting to note that there are corresponding identities for the first order differential operators

$$P_N(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \tag{13}$$

equal to the total momentum operator for the eCS system, namely

$$\left[P_N(\mathbf{x}) + P_M(\mathbf{y})\right]F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = 0 \tag{14}$$

(this is easily proven by observing that $F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$ is invariant under common shifts $(x_j, y_k) \to (x_j + a, y_k + a)$ for arbitrary real a), and similarly

$$\left[P_N(\mathbf{x}) + P_M(\mathbf{y})\right]\tilde{F}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = 0. \tag{15}$$

It is natural to conjecture that similar identities hold true for all commuting differential operators $H^{(j)} = H_N^{(j)}(\mathbf{x})$, $j = 1, 2, , \ldots$, which are known to exist for the eCS model [OS] (since $H^{(j)}$ for $j = 1$ and 2 are equal to the total momentum operator and the Hamiltonian of the eCS system, respectively).

Remark 1.1: Note that the constant c_0 in Eq. (9) is identical to the one in Eq. (3). Moreover, the constant c_1 appears in the following from,

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{8} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{nq^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}} - \frac{c_0}{2},$$

but due to the identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{nq^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2m}}{(1-q^{2m})^2} \tag{16}$$

one gets $c_1 = 1/12$. □

Remark 1.2: It is interesting to note that by redefining the elliptic functions by β-dependent constants as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} V(r) &\to V(r) + b_0 \\ \theta(r) &\to B_1\theta(r) \quad \text{with } \frac{\partial\log(B_1)}{\partial\beta} = b_1\end{aligned}$$

the constants in our identities are changed as follows,

$$c_{N,M} \to c_{N,M} - \lambda(\lambda-1)\Big[N(N-1) - M(M-1)\Big]b_0 \\ -(N-M)\lambda^2\Big[N(N-1) + M(M-1) - 2NM\Big]b_1$$

and

$$\tilde{c}_{N,M} \to \tilde{c}_{N,M} - \Big[\lambda(\lambda-1)N(N-1) + (1/\lambda-1)M(M-1)\Big]b_0 \\ +(\lambda N + M)\Big[\lambda N(N-1) + M(M-1)/\lambda + 2NM\Big]b_1.$$

In particular, choosing $b_0 = c_0$ and $b_1 = c_1$ we can simplify these constants significantly,

$$\begin{aligned} c_{N,M} &\to \lambda\Big[N(N-1) - M(M-1)\Big]c_0 \\ \tilde{c}_{N,M} &\to \Big[\lambda N(N-1) + M(M-1) + (1+\lambda)MN\Big]c_0. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This latter simple choice amounts to replacing

$$\begin{aligned} V(r) &\to \wp(r) \\ \theta(r) &\to \vartheta(\tfrac{1}{2}r). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

This shows that if one uses the standard elliptic functions one gets somewhat simpler formulas. However, our choice has the advantage that the trigonometric limit $q = 0$ is not singular. Moreover, it removes a trivial contribution from the eigenvalues of the eCS model [L4]. □

It is useful to write the constants above as follows,

$$c_{N,M} = \frac{1}{12}\lambda^2(N-M)[(N-M)^2-1] + 2\lambda^2(N-M)(N+M-1)c_2 \tag{19}$$

and

$$\tilde{c}_{N,M} = \frac{1}{12}[\lambda^2N^3 + M^3/\lambda + 3NM(\lambda N + M) - (\lambda^2 N + M/\lambda)] \\ -2[\lambda^2N^2 + M^2/\lambda - (\lambda^2N + M/\lambda) + (\lambda+1)MN]c_2 \tag{20}$$

with

$$c_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nq^{2n}}{1-q^{2n}}. \tag{21}$$

In [L1, L2] we obtained the special case $N = M$ of the identity in Eq. (7) using a second quantization of the eCS model in a quantum field theory (QFT) of anyons on a circle and at finite temperature $1/\beta$, and in [L4], Appendix A.3, we gave an alternative, elementary proof by straightforward but rather tedious computations. In this paper we show that the QFT results in [L2] naturally imply all the identities in the Theorem above. To be convincing also

for readers not familiar with QFT we will also give elementary proofs by direct computations which are, however, not so illuminating. These direct proofs are based three functional identities of the functions $V(r)$ and $\theta(r)$ introduced above: Firstly,

$$V(r) \;=\; -\frac{d^2}{dr^2}\log\theta(r), \tag{22}$$

secondly,

$$\phi(x)\phi(y)+\phi(x)\phi(z)+\phi(y)\phi(z) = f(x)+f(y)+f(z) \quad \text{if } x+y+z=0 \tag{23}$$

where

$$\phi(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\log\theta(x)\,, \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2}[V(x)-\phi(x)^2-c_0], \tag{24}$$

and thirdly,

$$f(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\log\theta(x)+c_1 \tag{25}$$

with the constants c_0 and c_1 in Eq. (9). The (elementary) proofs (22) and (23) can be found in [L4], Appendix A.1 and A.2, respectively; (25) follows readily from

$$\vartheta_1(x/2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}q^{(n-1/2)^2}\sin[(n-1/2)x]$$

(see Section 21.22 in [WW], e.g.) and Eq. (5), using the observation made in Remark 1.1 above. To avoid misunderstanding we stress that the functional identities needed to prove our results are classical and known since a long time.

An interesting special case of our result is for $M=0$ in which case the identities reduce to

$$H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x})\Psi_0(\mathbf{x}) = \left[c_{N,0}-2N\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\right]\Psi_0(\mathbf{x}) \tag{26}$$

where $\Psi_0(\mathbf{x}) = F_{N,0}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$, i.e.,

$$\Psi_0(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\theta(x_k-x_j)^{\lambda}. \tag{27}$$

In the limiting case $\beta\to\infty$ the β-derivative term disappears, and we recover the well-known eigenvalue equation for the groundstate of the Sutherland model. It is interesting to note that the elliptic generalization of this identity which we find here does *not* give the groundstate of the eCS model, which is why Sutherland's solution [Su] of the Sutherland model does not generalize to the elliptic case. For $N=2$, $M=0$ our identities reduce to

$$\left[-2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}+4\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}-c_{2,0}\right]\theta(x)^{\lambda} = 0,$$

which for $\lambda=1$ is (essentially) the heat equation obeyed by ϑ_1 ($x=x_1-x_2$). Other interesting special cases will be discussed in Section 3.

It is worth noting that original motivation to study the QFT model of anyons in [CL] was its relation to the fractional quantum Hall effect [W], and it is remarkable that this physics relation proved to be helpful for finding the generalization in [L1, L2]. However, one can regard this construction also pragmatically as a useful generating function technique for deriving interesting identities which, once found, can also be proven by direct computations. Since we believe that there are other identities to be found along similar lines, we hope it is nevertheless of some interest to not only give the direct proof but also the detailed QFT derivation.

We also mention that the QFT construction in [L2] seems closely related to earlier work on conformal field theory on the torus [B, EK, EFK, FV, FW] also finding an exploiting interesting relations to the eCS model. The approach in [L2] and here is, however, rather different in spirit and technique.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we give an outline of how to derive our identities from the QFT results in [L1, L2], emphasizing the physical interpretation and deferring computational details to Appendix A. In this discussion we clarify this QFT construction, in particular the interpretation of the representation we use as finite temperature representation (which, since not needed there, was only discussed in an Appendix in [L2]). Section 3 contains our conclusions, including a comparison with previous results and a short discussion of possible applications of our identities. The elementary, alternative proofs can be found in Appendix B.

2 Quantum field theory derivation.

In this Section we explain how the identities in our Theorem are obtained from our results in [L1, L2]. Some computational details are deferred to Appendix A.

Second quantization of the eCS model. We first briefly summarize the construction of anyons [L2].

Anyons (for us) are operator valued distributions $\phi_\nu(x)$ parameterized by a coordinate on the unit circle, $-\pi \leq x \leq \pi$, and depending on a real parameter ν determining their commutator relations as follows,

$$\phi_\nu(x)\phi_\nu(y) = \mathrm{e}^{\pm \mathrm{i}\pi\nu^2}\phi_\nu(y)\phi_\nu(x) \quad \text{for } x \neq y \tag{28}$$

(see the Definition in Section 2.2 in [L2]; note that what we denote as $\phi_\nu(x)$ here is identical with $\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow} \phi^1_\varepsilon(x)$ there; we ignore the regularization parameter ε here but indicate the parameter ν determining the statistics instead: see also Remark 2.1 below). We constructed a particular representation of these anyons on a Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ generated from a "vacuum" Ω such that

$$\langle \Omega, \phi_\nu(x)^*\phi_\nu(y)\Omega\rangle = const.\, \theta(x)^{-\nu^2} \tag{29}$$

where $\theta(r)$ is the elliptic function in Eq. (4); $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the Hilbert space inner product and $*$ the Hilbert space adjoint. This representation is characterized by an parameter $\beta > 0$ which determines the modulus of the elliptic functions as $q = \exp(-\beta/2)$ and which, as we showed, has a natural physical interpretation as inverse temperature. We then constructed a self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ which has remarkable commutator relations with products of an arbitrary number N of anyon fields

$$\Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x}) := \phi_\nu(x_1)\cdots\phi_\nu(x_N), \tag{30}$$

namely

$$[\mathcal{H}, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})]\Omega = H_{N,\lambda}(\mathbf{x})\Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})\Omega \tag{31}$$

where $H = H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x})$ is the eCS Hamiltonian given in Eq. (1) with the coupling determined by the statistic parameter as follows,

$$\lambda = \nu^2. \tag{32}$$

These relations suggest to regard $\mathcal{H}$ as a second quantization of the eCS Hamiltonian. Another important property of $\mathcal{H}$ is the following,

$$\langle\Omega, [A, \mathcal{H}]\Omega\rangle = 0, \tag{33}$$

which is true for a large class of operators A on $\mathcal{F}$ (see Lemma 4 in [L2] for the precise statement), including arbitrary products of anyons.

Remark 2.1: A main technical point in [L2] was to give precise mathematical meaning to this operator valued distributions $\phi_\nu(x)$ by introducing approximate anyons depending on a regularization parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for $\varepsilon > 0$, they are well-defined operator, and the anyons are obtained as a limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ (see Section 2.2 in [L2]). This is a useful method to treat QFT divergences. However, in the present paper we can ignore this technicality except for one instance in Appendix A.

Remark 2.2: It is worth noting that, at zero temperature, the "vacuum" is a highest weight state annihilated by $\mathcal{H}$, but this property is lost a finite temperature. The relation in Eq. (33) is a weaker substitute for this highest weight conditions which holds true also at finite temperature. A similar remark applies to the operator $\check{W}^2$ discussed below.

Identities: a special case. In [L1, L2] we observed that the relations above imply that the anyon correlation function

$$G_{N,N}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \langle\Omega, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^*\Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle \tag{34}$$

satisfies the following remarkable identity,

$$\Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) - H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{y})\Big]G_{N,N}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0. \tag{35}$$

The argument is simple: using Eq. (33) for $A = \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^* \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{y})$ we get

$$\langle [\mathcal{H}, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})]\Omega, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle - \langle \Omega, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^* [\mathcal{H}, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{y})]\Omega\rangle = 0 \tag{36}$$

where we used $[\Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^*, \mathcal{H}] = [\mathcal{H}, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})]^*$ which holds true since $\mathcal{H}$ is self-adjoint. Inserting Eq. (31) twice, moving the eCS Hamiltonians in front of the Hilbert inner product, and using (34) we get Eq. (35). Computing $G_{N,N}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ one finds that it is equal to $F_{N,N}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ in Eq. (6) (see Proposition 1 in [L2] or Eqs. (42) and (43) below), and we thus obtain the special case $N = M$ of the identity in Eq. (7).

Other correlation functions. It is natural to try to generalize this identity by considering generalized anyon correlation functions which are vacuum expectation values of

$$\Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^* \Phi^M_\mu(\mathbf{y}),$$

allowing also for different particle numbers in $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ and/or different anyon parameters ν and μ. To construct and compute non-trivial such functions we need to recall a few more details of the anyon construction in [L2].

The explicit form of the anyons is,

$$\phi_\nu(x) = {}^{\times}_{\times}\, R \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\nu^2 Q x - \nu \sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \rho(n) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}nx} \right) {}^{\times}_{\times} \tag{37}$$

where the $\rho(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and R are generators of the Heisenberg algebra defined by the following relations

$$[\rho(n), \rho(m)] = n\delta_{n,-m}, \quad [\rho(n), R] = \delta_{n,0} R \tag{38}$$

and

$$\rho(n)^* = \rho(-n), \quad R^* = R^{-1} \tag{39}$$

where

$$\rho(0) = Q \tag{40}$$

has the physical interpretation of a *charge operator*. A important point here is the definition of normal ordering ${}^{\times}_{\times} \cdot {}^{\times}_{\times}$ which is not the standard one; see Lemma 1 in [L2] for a detailed characterization. The operator Q satisfies, by definition,

$$Q\Omega = 0, \tag{41}$$

and this has important consequences: we say that an operator A on $\mathcal{F}$ has charge q iff $[Q, A] = qA$, and the definitions above imply that only operators A with charge zero can have a non-zero vacuum expectation value, and that $R^{\pm 1}$ changes the charge by ± 1. We therefore need to to insert an appropriate power of R to get a non-trivial vacuum expectation value, and the natural correlation function to consider is

$$G_{\nu,\mu;N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \langle \Omega, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^* R^{N-M} \Phi^M_\mu(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle. \tag{42}$$

By straightforward computations we obtain (for details see Appendix A.1)

$$G_{\nu,\mu;N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(p_1X-p_2Y)}\frac{\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\theta(x_j-x_k)^{\nu^2}\prod_{1\le j<k\le M}\theta(y_k-y_j)^{\mu^2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{k=1}^{M}\theta(x_j-y_k)^{\nu\mu}} \tag{43}$$

where the exponential factor gives the dependence of the center-of-mass coordinates,

$$X=\sum_{j=1}^{N}x_j,\quad Y=\sum_{j=1}^{M}y_j, \tag{44}$$

and the center-of-mass momenta are determined by the statistics parameters of the anyons as follows,

$$p_1=\tfrac{1}{2}(\nu^2N-\nu\mu M),\quad p_2=\tfrac{1}{2}(\mu^2M-\nu\mu N). \tag{45}$$

Note that, up to the exponential factors, these correlation functions for $\mu=\nu=\sqrt{\lambda}$ and $\nu=-1/\mu=\sqrt{\lambda}$ are equal to the functions in Eq. (6) and (10), respectively.

In the following we give a simplified derivations of Eqs. (7) and (11), ignoring less interesting terms which only contribute to the constants $c_{N,M}$ and $\tilde{c}_{N,M}$, respectively, and which we indicate by dots. This simplifies the argument considerably. The complete equations including all terms are given in Appendix A.3 and A.4, respectively.

Derivation of the identity in Eq. (7). We now consider the function

$$G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})\equiv G_{\nu,\nu;N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}),\quad \nu=\sqrt{\lambda} \tag{46}$$

and try to use a similar argument as above. If we now use the identity in Eq. (33) for $A=\Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^*R^{N-M}\Phi_\nu^M(\mathbf{y})$ and use Eq. (31) twice we obtain

$$\Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x})-H_{\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y})\Big]G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=\langle\Omega,\Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^*[\mathcal{H},R^{N-M}]\Phi_\nu^M(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle \tag{47}$$

where we now get an additional term. It is a rather surprising that it is possible to compute this term in a simple manner: this is due to a "miracle" which we now describe.

For that we need to recall the explicit form of the 2nd quantized eCS Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$,

$$\mathcal{H}=\nu\check{W}^3+(1-\nu^2)\mathcal{C}+2\nu^2\check{W}^2Q+\tfrac{1}{3}\nu^4Q^3-\nu^4c_0Q, \tag{48}$$

with the constant c_0 in Eq. (9),

$$\check{W}^s=\frac{1}{s}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2\pi}\,{}^{\times}_{\times}\left(\sum_{n\neq0}\rho(n)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}nx}\right)^s{}^{\times}_{\times}\qquad\text{for } s=2,3, \tag{49}$$

and $\mathcal{C}$ is some (known) operator satisfying

$$[\mathcal{C},R]=0,\quad \mathcal{C}\Omega=0 \tag{50}$$

(see Proposition 2 in [L2]; the formula given there looks different but is equivalent, as is seen by a simple computation and the identity in Eq. (16)).

Remark 2.3: The charge operator Q (equal to the zero mode $\rho(0)$) plays an even more important role here than in [L2], and it is therefore more natural now to write $\mathcal{H}$ in terms of the operators $\check{W}^s$ with all zero modes removed: since these operators obviously commute with the charge-rising operator R,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{W}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}{\sum_n}' {}^{\times}_{\times} \rho(n)\rho(-n) {}^{\times}_{\times} \\ \check{W}^3 &= \tfrac{1}{3}{\sum_{n,m}}' {}^{\times}_{\times} \rho(n)\rho(m)\rho(-n-m) {}^{\times}_{\times} \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

with the prime on the sums indicating that all terms with at least one factor $\rho(0)$ are left out, it thus becomes easier to compute the commutator of $\mathcal{H}$ with R. It is gratifying to see that this also simplifies the formula for $\mathcal{H}$ (compare Eq. (48) above with Eq. (57) in [L2]).

We thus get

$$[\mathcal{H}, R^{N-M}] = 2(N-M)\lambda R^{N-M}\check{W}^2 + \dots, \tag{52}$$

with the dots less interesting terms which we ignore for simplicity (they are given in Appendix 2.3). The "miracle" is that this commutator is proportional to the operator $\check{W}^2$ which plays an important two-fold role in the anyon QFT: firstly, $\check{W}^2$ is (essentially) the second quantization of the total momentum operator,

$$[\check{W}^2, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})] = P_N(\mathbf{x})\Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x}) + \dots \tag{53}$$

with $P_N(\mathbf{x})$ defined in Eq. (13) is the generator of translations (see Eq. (68) in [L2]), and secondly, $\check{W}^2$ is (essentially) identical with the many-body Hamiltonian used to construct the temperature representation (see Proposition 4 in Appendix B.2 of [L2]) which implies

$$\langle \Omega, A\check{W}^2\Omega\rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\langle\Omega, A\Omega\rangle + \dots. \tag{54}$$

Remark 2.4: Eq. (54) is the key to our identities. While the first term on the r.h.s. is easy to understand from what we said above, the computation of the terms '...' proportional to $\langle A\rangle$ is somewhat subtle. This computation clarifies some interesting aspects of our finite temperature representation not mentioned in [L2]. They are discussed in Appendix A.2.

Using Eqs. (53) and (54) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\Omega, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^*[\mathcal{H}, R^{N-M}]\Phi^M_\nu(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle &= 2\lambda(N-M) \\ \times\langle\Omega, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^* R^{N-M}\Big([\check{W}^2, \Phi^M_\nu(\mathbf{y})] + \Phi^M_\nu(\mathbf{y})\check{W}^2\Big)\Omega\rangle + \dots \\ = 2\lambda(N-M)\Big(P_M(\mathbf{y}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\Big)G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and inserting this in Eq. (47) we obtain

$$\Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) - H_{\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y})\Big] G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = 2\lambda(N-M)\Big(P_M(\mathbf{y}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\Big) G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) + \dots . \tag{55}$$

From Eqs. (46), (43) and (6) we see that $G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$ is equal to $F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$ up to a phase factor depending only on center-of-mass coordinates X and Y, and using

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}pX} H_N(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}pX} &= H_N(\mathbf{x}) + Np^2 - 2pP_N(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}pX} P_N(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}pX} &= P_N(\mathbf{x}) - Np \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

the identity in Eq. (55) turns into a similar identity for $F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$. Remarkably we can use Eq. (14) to cancel all terms involving $P_N(\mathbf{x})$ and $P_M(\mathbf{y})$. This proves the identity in Eq. (7) up to the precise value of the constant $c_{N,M}$.

As mentioned, the missing details to also compute the constant $c_{N,M}$ are given in Appendix A.2 and A.3.

Derivation of the identity in Eq. (11). In general, the argument above does not work for correlation functions in Eq. (42) if the anyon parameters ν and μ are different. However, there is one such case where it does work: from the explicit formula for the second quantization of the eCS Hamiltonian in Eq. (48) we observe that replacing ν by $-1/\nu$ gives back essentially the same operator up to a constant factor,

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\nu)} = -\lambda \mathcal{H}^{(-1/\nu)} + 2(\lambda+1)\check{W}^2 Q + (\lambda^2 + 1/\lambda)(\tfrac{1}{3}Q^3 - c_0 Q), \quad \lambda = \nu^2, \tag{57}$$

where we now indicate also the anyon parameter ν. This suggest that we should be able to also get an identity for the correlation functions

$$\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) \equiv G_{\nu,-1/\nu;N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}), \quad \nu = \sqrt{\lambda} \tag{58}$$

defined in Eq. (42). Indeed, by a similar computation as above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle \Omega, [\Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^* R^{N-M} \Phi^M_{-1/\nu}(\mathbf{y}), \mathcal{H}^{(\nu)}]\Omega\rangle = \Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda H_{1/\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y})\Big]\tilde{G}_{N,M} \\ - \langle \Omega, \Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^* \Big([\mathcal{H}^{(\nu)}, R^{N-M}] - 2(\lambda+1) M R^{N-M} \check{W}^2 \Big) \Phi^M_{-1/\nu}(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where we used Eqs. (33) and (57) and twice Eq. (31). As mentioned, the dots indicate less interesting terms specified in Appendix A.4. Inserting Eqs. (52) and (53) we get, similarly as above,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda H_{1/\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y})\Big)\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \Big(2(N-M)P_M(\mathbf{y}) - 2(\lambda N + M)\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\Big)\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) + \dots . \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Since $\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$ is equal to $\tilde{F}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$ up to the center-of-mass phase factor (cf. Eqs. (58), (43) and (10)) we can use Eq. (56) to obtain a similar identity for $\tilde{F}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$. Again all terms involving $P_N(\mathbf{x})$ and $P_M(\mathbf{y})$ cancel due to Eq. (15), and we obtain the identity in Eq. (11) up to the value of the constant $\tilde{c}_{N,M}$.

The details of this computations can be found in Appendix A.4.

3 Conclusions

It is interesting to note that by introducing the operators

$$L_{N,\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = 2\lambda N \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + H_{N,\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{60}$$

one can write the identities in Eqs. (7) and (11) as follows,

$$\Big(L_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) - L_{M,\lambda}(\mathbf{y}) - c_{N,M}\Big) F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = 0 \tag{61}$$

and

$$\Big(L_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda L_{1/\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y}) - \tilde{c}_{N,M}\Big) \tilde{F}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = 0, \tag{62}$$

respectively. The operator L_N seems to be a special case of one introduced by Bernard [B]; see Eq. (6.1) *ff* in [EK]. The results in Ref. [B] suggests that it should be possible to interpret Eqs. (61,62) as Ward identities of some conformal field theory on the torus; see [FV, FW]. We also note that the special case $M = 0$ of Eq. (7) seems to be identical with an identity given in the Remark after Theorem 4.1 in Ref. [FW].

We finally discuss possible applications of these identities. We first discuss the trigonometric limit $\beta \to \infty$ in which case the derivative terms proportional to $\partial/\partial\beta$ in Eqs. (7,11) are absent. In particular, for $M = 0$ we recover the well-known eigenvalue equation for the ground state of the Sutherland model which is the starting point of Sutherland's solution of this model [Su], as already mentioned in Section 1. Another interesting special case is the identity in Eq. (7) for $N = M$: it seems to give an alternative construction of the Q-operator playing a central role in [KMS] deriving interesting explicit results for the solution of the Sutherland system. Moreover, this very identity is also the starting point of an alternative solution algorithm for the Sutherland model [L3]. Our generalization of this identity to $M \neq N$ might allow to construct a generalized Q-operator relating eigenfunctions of the Sutherland model for different particle numbers and/or different coupling parameters λ and $1/\lambda$. For the general elliptic case $\beta < \infty$ the identity $N = M$ in Eq. (7) was used as a starting point for a perturbative algorithm to solve the eCS model as a formal power series in q^2 [L4]. We speculate that it might be possible to also find elliptic generalizations of the results in Ref. [KMS] using our identities (this is suggested to us by the interesting results on the 3-particle eCS system in Section 7 of Ref. [Sk]).

Appendix A: QFT derivation. Details.

In this Appendix we provide the details of the quantum field theory derivation of the identities summarized in our Theorem.

A.1 Computation of the anyon correlation functions. Here we give more details of how to compute $G_{\nu,\mu;N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$ defined in Eq. (42) and obtain Eq. (43).

We know that

$$\Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{y}) = b_N(\mathbf{x})^{\nu^2} \stackrel{\times}{\times} \Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\times}{\times}, \quad b_N(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{1\le j<k\le N} \theta(x_j - x_k) \tag{A1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \Omega, \stackrel{\times}{\times} \Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^* \stackrel{\times}{\times} R^{N-M} \stackrel{\times}{\times} \Phi_\mu^M(\mathbf{y}) \stackrel{\times}{\times} \Omega\rangle \\ &= \langle \Omega, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu^2 X/2} R^{-N} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu^2 X/2} R^N R^{-M} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mu^2 Y/2} R^M \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mu^2 Y/2} \Omega\rangle (*) \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(N\nu^2 X - M\mu^2 Y)/2} (*) \end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

(see Eqs. (50), (16) and (17) and in [L2]; we also used $R^{-1}QR = Q + \mathbf{1}$ following from Eqs. (11) in [L2]) and

$$(*) = \prod_{j,k} \mathrm{e}^{\nu\mu C(x_j - y_k)} = \prod_{j,k} \theta(x_j - x_k)^{-\nu\mu} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\nu\mu(x_j - y_k)/2} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\nu\mu(MX - NY)/2} \prod_{j,k} \theta(x_j - x_k)^{-\nu\mu}$$

the other contributions (see Eq. (44) *ff* in [L2]). By simple computations this yields Eq. (43).

A.2 Finite temperature correlations functions. Eq. (54) is a crucial step in the QFT derivation of our identities. As discussed in the main text, it is a consequence of β being equal to the inverse temperature and $\check{W}^2$ being (essentially) the Hamiltonian used to construct the finite temperature representation of our QFT model. We now discuss this relation in more detail.

In [L2], Appendix B.1, we proved that the vacuum expectation value of (essentially) any operator A is equal to its thermal expectation as follows,

$$\langle \Omega, A\Omega\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \lim_{a\to\infty} \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0} A_0) \tag{A3}$$

where

$$H_0 = aQ_0^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_0(n)\rho_0(-n) \tag{A4}$$

and the subscripts '0' are to indicate that these operators are in the standard (= zero temperature) representation;

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{2n})}, \quad q = \mathrm{e}^{-\beta/2} \tag{A5}$$

is the partition function (see Proposition 4 and Eq. (B16) in [L2]). Remarkably,

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \beta} = -c_2 \tag{A6}$$

with c_2 the constant in Eq. (21), and thus Eq. (A3) implies

$$\langle \Omega, AH\Omega\rangle = -\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} - c_2\Big)\langle \Omega, A\Omega\rangle. \tag{A7}$$

with $H = aQ^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(-n)\rho(n)$. Since H is (essentially) equal to $aQ^2 + \check{W}^2$ this implies Eq. (54).

However, there is a subtle to point we need to take into account to make this relation precise: the normal ordering prescription used in [L2] is β-dependent, and $aQ^2 + \check{W}^2$ is therefore *not* equal to H (which is defined by zero temperature normal ordering) but differs from it by a constant. This difference can be computed as follows: by definition of the normal ordering in the thermal state (see Eq. (25) in [L2]), $\langle \Omega, \check{W}^2 \Omega \rangle = 0$, whereas Eq. (A7) for $A = \mathbf{1}$ gives $\langle \Omega, H\Omega \rangle = c_2$. We conclude that $\check{W}^2 = H - aQ^2 - c_2$, and thus

$$\langle \Omega, A\check{W}^2 \Omega \rangle = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \langle \Omega, A\Omega \rangle \tag{A8}$$

with the normal ordering difference taking away precisely the constant c_2 in Eq. (A7).

It is also important to note that also the anyon operators are defined using normal ordering. More explicitly, as explained in Remark 2.6 after Eq. (46) in [L2], normal ordering of the anyon field $\phi_\nu(x)$ amounts to a multiplication with the constant

$$\Big[(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2\varepsilon}) \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2m} \mathrm{e}^{-2\varepsilon})^2\Big]^{-\nu^2/2}$$

in the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ (see Eq. (A4) *ff* in [L2]); since the divergent factor is β independent, the factor accounting for the difference in normal ordering has a finite limit as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ which is identical with $\mathcal{Z}^{\nu^2}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega, \Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^* R^{N-M} \Phi_\mu^M(\mathbf{y}) \Omega \rangle = \mathcal{Z}^{N\nu^2 + M\mu^2 - 1} \lim_{a \to \infty} \\ \times \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0} \Phi_{\nu,0}^N(\mathbf{x})^* R_0^{N-M} \Phi_{\mu,0}^M(\mathbf{y}) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

We conclude that

$$\langle \Omega, \Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^* R^{N-M} \Phi_\mu^M(\mathbf{y}) \check{W}^2 \Omega \rangle = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + (N\nu^2 + M\mu^2) c_2 \right) G_{\nu,\mu;N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) \tag{A10}$$

(we used Eq. (42)) which is the equation we need.

A.3 Detailed derivation of Eq. (7). In our derivation of Eq. (7) in the main text we ignored terms proportional to $G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y})$ (indicated by dots), to simplify the argument. Here we give the full derivation, with all terms included.

Using Eq. (48) we obtain

$$[\mathcal{H}, R^{N-M}] = (2\lambda \check{W}^2 - c_0 \lambda^2)(N - M) R^{N-M} + \tfrac{1}{3}\lambda^2 [Q^3, R^{N-M}], \tag{A11}$$

implying

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega, \Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^* [\mathcal{H}, R^{N-M}] \Phi_\nu^M(\mathbf{y}) \Omega \rangle = 2\lambda(N - M) \langle \Omega, \Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^* \check{W}^2 R^{N-M} \Phi_\nu^M(\mathbf{y}) \Omega \rangle \\ + \lambda^2 [\tfrac{1}{3}(N^3 - M^3) - c_0(N - M)] G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned} \tag{A12}$$

We now use

$$[\check{W}^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda Q^2, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})] = P_N(\mathbf{x})\Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x}) \tag{A13}$$

with $P_N(\mathbf{x})$ defined in Eq. (13) (see Eqs. (68) in [L2]; there is a typo in this latter formula: $(\nu-1)(\nu-3)$ should be replaced by (ν^2-1)). Using that and (A10) for $\nu=\mu$ (recall Eq. (46)) we obtain

$$\langle\Omega, \Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^* \check{W}^2 R^{N-M} \Phi^M_\nu(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle = \Big(P_M(\mathbf{y}) - \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda M^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} - \lambda(N+M)c_2\Big) G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}). \tag{A14}$$

Putting the equations above together we obtain

$$\Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) - H_{\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y}) + 2\lambda(N-M)\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\Big] G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \Big(\lambda^2[\tfrac{1}{3}(N^3-M^3) - c_0(N-M)] + 2\lambda(N-M)[P_M(\mathbf{y}) - \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda M^2 - \lambda(N+M)c_2]\Big) G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}). \tag{A15}$$

To get from this an identity for $F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ we recall Eqs. (42), (43), (46) and (6), implying

$$G_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}p(X+Y)} F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}), \quad p = \tfrac{1}{2}(N-M)\lambda. \tag{A16}$$

Using the identities in Eq. (56) this gives

$$\Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x}) - H_{\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y}) + 2\lambda(N-M)\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\Big] F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \Big(\lambda^2[\tfrac{1}{3}(N^3-M^3) - c_0(N-M)] + 2\lambda(N-M)[P_M(\mathbf{y}) - Mp - \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda M^2 - \lambda(N+M)c_2] - (N-M)p^2 + 2p[P_N(\mathbf{x}) - P_M(\mathbf{y})]\Big) F_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}).$$

Inserting $p = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(N-M)$ and using Eq. (15) we see that all terms involving $P_N(\mathbf{x})$ and $P_M(\mathbf{y})$ on the r.h.s. cancel, and we obtain the identity in Eq. (7) with

$$c_{N,M} = \lambda^2\Big(\tfrac{1}{3}(N^3-M^3) - c_0(N-M)\Big) - \lambda(N-M)\Big(M\lambda(N-M) + \lambda M^2 + 2\lambda(N+M)c_2\Big) - \tfrac{1}{4}(N-M)^3\lambda^2, \tag{A17}$$

identical with the constant in Eq. (8).

A.4 Detailed derivation of Eq. (11). We now consider the functions defined in Eq. (58). Eqs. (42), (43), and (10) imply

$$\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(p_1 X - p_2 Y)} \tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}), \quad p_1 = \tfrac{1}{2}(\lambda N + M), \quad p_2 = \tfrac{1}{2}(N + M/\lambda). \tag{A18}$$

Using Eq. (57) we can compute

$$0 = \langle\Omega, [\Phi^N_\nu(\mathbf{x})^* R^{N-M} \Phi^M_{-1/\nu}(\mathbf{y}), \mathcal{H}^{(\nu)}]\Omega\rangle =$$

$$\langle[\mathcal{H}^{(\nu)},\Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})]^*\Omega,R^{N-M}\Phi_M^{-1/\nu}(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle$$
$$+\langle\Omega,\Phi_{\nu,N}(\mathbf{x})^*[R^{N-M},\mathcal{H}^{(\nu)}]\Phi_M^{-1/\nu}(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle+\langle\Omega,\Phi_{\nu,N}(\mathbf{x})^*R^{N-M}$$
$$\times[\lambda\mathcal{H}^{(-1/\nu)}-2(\lambda+1)\check{W}^2Q-(\lambda^2+1/\lambda)(\tfrac{1}{3}Q^3-c_0Q),\Phi_M^{-1/\nu}(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle$$
$$=\Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x})+\lambda H_{1/\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y})-(\lambda^2+1/\lambda)(\tfrac{1}{3}M^3-Mc_0)\Big]\tilde{G}_{N,M}$$
$$-\langle\Omega,\Phi_{\nu,N}(\mathbf{x})^*[\mathcal{H}^{(\nu)},R^{N-M}]\Phi_M^{-1/\nu}(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle$$
$$-2(\lambda+1)M\langle\Omega,\Phi_{\nu,N}(\mathbf{x})^*\check{W}^2R^{N-M}\Phi_M^{-1/\nu}(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle.$$

As above,

$$\langle\Omega,\Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^*[\mathcal{H}^{(\nu)},R^{N-M}]\Phi_{-1/\nu}^M(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle=2\lambda(N-M)\langle\Omega,\Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^*$$
$$\times R^{N-M}\check{W}^2\Phi_{-1/\nu}^M(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle+\lambda^2[\tfrac{1}{3}(N^3-M^3)-c_0(N-M)]\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$$

which yields

$$\Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x})+\lambda H_{1/\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y})-\lambda^2(\tfrac{1}{3}N^3-Nc_0)-(\tfrac{1}{3}M^3-Mc_0)/\lambda\Big]\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$$
$$=2(\lambda N+M)\langle\Omega,\Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^*\check{W}^2R^{N-M}\Phi_{-1/\nu}^M(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle. \tag{A19}$$

Moreover, Eq. (A10) gives

$$\langle\Omega,\Phi_\nu^N(\mathbf{x})^*\check{W}^2R^{N-M}\Phi_{-1/\nu}^M(\mathbf{y})\Omega\rangle=\Big(P_M(\mathbf{y})-\tfrac{1}{2}M^2/\lambda$$
$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}-(\lambda N+M/\lambda)c_2\Big)\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}), \tag{A20}$$

and with that we obtain

$$\Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x})+\lambda H_{1/\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y})+2(N\lambda+M)\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\Big]\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=$$
$$\Big[\lambda^2(\tfrac{1}{3}N^3-c_0N)+(\tfrac{1}{3}M^3-Mc_0)/\lambda+2(N\lambda+M)[P_M(\mathbf{y})$$
$$-\tfrac{1}{2}M^2/\lambda-(\lambda N+M/\lambda)c_2]\Big]\tilde{G}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}),$$

or equivalently

$$\Big[H_{\lambda,N}(\mathbf{x})+\lambda H_{1/\lambda,M}(\mathbf{y})+2(N\lambda+M)\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\Big]\tilde{F}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$$
$$=\Big[\lambda^2(\tfrac{1}{3}N^3-c_0N)+(\tfrac{1}{3}M^3-Mc_0)/\lambda+2(N\lambda+M)[P_M(\mathbf{y})+Mp_2-\tfrac{1}{2}M^2/\lambda$$
$$-(\lambda N+M/\lambda)c_2]-Np_1^2+2p_1P_N(\mathbf{x})-\lambda Mp_2^2-2\lambda p_2P_M(\mathbf{y})\Big]\tilde{F}_{N,M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}), \tag{A21}$$

where we used Eqs. (A18) and (56). Using Eq. (15) we see that, again, all derivative terms involving $P_N(\mathbf{x})$ and $P_M(\mathbf{y})$ cancel, and we obtain the identity in Eq. (11) with

$$\tilde{c}_{N,M}=\lambda^2(\tfrac{1}{3}N^3-c_0N)+(\tfrac{1}{3}M^3-Mc_0)/\lambda+(N\lambda+M)[M(N+M/\lambda)$$
$$-M^2/\lambda-2(\lambda N+M/\lambda)c_2]-\tfrac{1}{4}N(N\lambda+M)^2-\tfrac{1}{4}\lambda M(N+M/\lambda)^2 \tag{A22}$$

identical with the constant in Eq. (12).

Appendix B: Elementary proofs of the identities

We define the function

$$G(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\prod_{1\le j<k\le N}\theta(x_k-x_j)^{\lambda_1}\prod_{1\le J<K\le M}\theta(y_J-y_K)^{\lambda_2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{K=1}^{M}\theta(x_j-y_K)^{\lambda_3}} \tag{B1}$$

and compute

$$W := \frac{1}{G}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - A\sum_{J=1}^{M}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_J^2}\right)G \tag{B2}$$

with parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ and A to be determined. We find it convenient to use in this appendix two different kinds of indices: small letters $j, k, \ell = 1, 2, \ldots N$ and capital letters $J, K, L = 1, 2, \ldots, M$. Obviously,

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_j} = \left[\sum_{j\neq k}\lambda_1\phi(x_j-x_k) - \sum_{K}\lambda_3\phi(x_j-y_K)\right]G \tag{B3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{G}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}G = &\sum_{k\neq j}\lambda_1\phi'(x_j-x_k) + \sum_{k,\ell\neq j}\lambda_1^2\phi(x_j-x_k)\phi(x_j-x_\ell) - \sum_{K}\lambda_3\phi'(x_j-y_K)\\ &+\sum_{K,L}\lambda_3^2\phi(x_j-y_K)\phi(x_j-y_L) - 2\sum_{k\neq j,K}\lambda_1\lambda_3\phi(x_j-x_k)\phi(x_j-y_K),\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

and similarly for the y_J-derivatives. We collect the terms in eight different groups as follows,

$$W = W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + W_4, \quad W_s = W_s^{(1)} - W_s^{(2)} \tag{B5}$$

with

$$W_1^{(1)} = \sum_{j,k\neq j}\left[\lambda_1\phi'(x_j-x_k) + \lambda_1^2\phi(x_j-x_k)^2\right], \tag{B6}$$

$$W_1^{(2)} = A\sum_{J,K\neq J}\left[\lambda_2\phi'(y_J-y_K) + \lambda_2^2\phi(y_J-y_K)^2\right], \tag{B7}$$

$$W_2^{(1)} = \sum_{j\neq k\neq \ell}\lambda_1^2\phi(x_j-x_k)\phi(x_j-x_\ell), \tag{B8}$$

where '$\sum_{j\neq k\neq\ell}$' is short for the sum over all j, k, ℓ with the constraints $j\neq k$ and $j\neq\ell$ and $k\neq\ell$,

$$W_2^{(2)} = A\sum_{J\neq K\neq L}\lambda_2^2\phi(y_J-y_K)\phi(y_J-y_L), \tag{B9}$$

$$W_3 = (1-A)\sum_{j,K}\left[-\lambda_3\phi'(x_j-y_K) + \lambda_3^2\phi(x_j-y_K)^2\right] \tag{B10}$$

since obviously $W_3^{(2)} = -AW_3^{(1)}$, and the rest

$$W_4 = \sum_{j,K\neq L} \lambda_3^2 \phi(x_j - y_K)\phi(x_j - y_L) - A \sum_{J,k\neq \ell} \lambda_3^2 \phi(y_J - x_k)\phi(y_J - x_\ell)$$
$$- \sum_{j,k\neq j,K} 2\lambda_1\lambda_3 \phi(x_j - x_k)\phi(x_j - y_K) + A \sum_{J,K\neq J,k} 2\lambda_2\lambda_3 \phi(y_J - y_K)\phi(y_J - x_k). \qquad \text{(B11)}$$

We insert $\phi'(x) = -V(x)$ and $\phi(x)^2 = V(x) - c_0 - 2f(x)$ (see Eqs. (23) and (24)) in Eq. (B6) and obtain

$$W_1^{(1)} = \sum_{j,k\neq j} \left[\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - 1)V(x_j - x_k) - \lambda_1^2 c_0 - 2\lambda_1^2 f(x_j - x_k)\right] =$$
$$-N(N-1)\lambda_1^2 c_0 + \sum_{j<k} \left[2\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - 1)V(x_j - x_k) - 4\lambda_1^2 f(x_j - x_k)\right]$$

where we used that the functions V and f are even, and similarly

$$W_1^{(2)} = -AM(M-1)\lambda_2^2 c_0 + A \sum_{J<K} \left[2\lambda_2(\lambda_2 - 1)V(y_J - y_K) - 4\lambda_2^2 f(y_J - y_K)\right].$$

Renaming summation indices and using that ϕ is odd we then write

$$W_2^{(1)} = \sum_{j\neq k\neq \ell} \tfrac{1}{3}(-\lambda_1^2)\Big[\phi(x_k - x_j)\phi(x_j - x_\ell) + \phi(x_j - x_\ell)\phi(x_\ell - x_k) + \phi(x_\ell - x_k)\phi(x_k - x_j)\Big].$$

Inserting the identity in Eq. (23) with $x = x_k - x_j$, $y = x_j - x_\ell$ and $z = x_\ell - x_k$ gives

$$W_2^{(1)} = \sum_{j\neq k\neq \ell} \tfrac{1}{3}(-\lambda_1^2)\left[f(x_k - x_j) + f(x_j - x_\ell) + f(x_\ell - x_k)\right] = -2\lambda_1^2(N-2)\sum_{j<k} f(x_j - x_k)$$

where we again renamed summation indices and used that f is even. Similarly,

$$W_2^{(2)} = -2A\lambda_2^2(M-2)\sum_{J<K} f(y_J - y_K).$$

Inserting Eq. (23) in Eq. (B10) we obtain

$$W_3 = (1-A)\lambda_3(\lambda_3+1)\sum_{j,K} V(x_j - y_K) - (1-A)\lambda_3^2\left(NMc_0 + 2\sum_{j,K} f(x_j - y_K)\right).$$

The first term in this expression mixes $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in a intolerable way, and in order to get a useful relation it has to disappear. This leads to the following important restriction on parameters,

$$(1-A)\lambda_3(\lambda_3+1) = 0. \qquad \text{(B12)}$$

Next we try to simplify W_4. We write $W_4 = W_4^{(1)} - W_4^{(2)}$ with

$$W_4^{(1)} = \sum_{j,K\neq L}\Big[-\lambda_3^2\phi(y_K - x_j)\phi(x_j - y_L) - A\lambda_2\lambda_3\phi(y_K - y_J)\phi(y_J - x_j) \\ -A\lambda_2\lambda_3\phi(y_J - y_K)\phi(y_K - x_j)\Big]$$

where we used that ϕ is odd and wrote the same term in two different ways renaming summation indices. Similarly,

$$W_4^{(2)} = \sum_{J,k\neq \ell}\Big[-A\lambda_3^2\phi(x_k - y_J)\phi(y_J - x_\ell) - \lambda_1\lambda_3\phi(x_k - x_j)\phi(x_j - y_K) - \\ \lambda_1\lambda_3\phi(x_j - x_k)\phi(x_k - y_K)\Big].$$

We now see that we can use the identity in Eq. (23) to simplify W_4 provided the parameters obey the following conditions,

$$\lambda_3 = A\lambda_2 \quad \text{and} \quad A\lambda_3 = \lambda_1, \tag{B13}$$

and this is another important restriction on parameters. If and only if this holds true we get

$$W_4^{(1)} = \sum_{j,K\neq L}(-\lambda_3^2)\Big[f(y_K - x_j) + f(y_J - y_K) + f(x_j - y_J)\Big] = \\ -2(M-1)\lambda_3^2\sum_{j,K} f(y_K - x_j) - 2N\lambda_3^2\sum_{J<K} f(y_J - y_K),$$

and similarly

$$W_4^{(2)} = -2A(N-1)\lambda_3^2\sum_{J,k} f(x_k - y_J) - 2MA\lambda_3^2\sum_{j<k} f(x_j - x_k).$$

Assuming the conditions in Eqs. (B12) and (B13) hold true we thus obtain

$$W = 2\lambda_1(\lambda_1 - 1)\sum_{j<k} V(x_j - x_k) - A2\lambda_2(\lambda_2 - 1)\sum_{J<K} V(y_J - y_K) \\ -\Big[N(N-1)\lambda_1^2 - AM(M-1)\lambda_2^2 + (1-A)\lambda_3^2 NM\Big]c_0 \\ +2(-N\lambda_1^2 + AM\lambda_3^2)\sum_{j<k} f(x_j - x_k) + 2(AM\lambda_2^2 - N\lambda_3^2)\sum_{J<K} f(y_J - y_K) \\ +2[-(1-A) - (M-1) + A(N-1)]\lambda_3^2\sum_{j,K} f(x_j - y_K), \tag{B14}$$

or equivalently,

$$\Big[H_{\lambda_1,N}(\mathbf{x}) - AH_{\lambda_2,M}(\mathbf{x}) - C_0 - C_1\sum_{j<k} f(x_j - x_k) \\ -C_2\sum_{J<K} f(x_J - x_K) + C_3\sum_{j,K} f(x_j - y_K)\Big]G = 0 \tag{B15}$$

with

$$C_1 = 2(N\lambda_1^2 - AM\lambda_3^2), \quad C_2 = 2(N\lambda_3^2 - AM\lambda_2^2), \quad C_3 = 2[AN - M] \tag{B16}$$

and

$$C_0 = \Big[N(N-1)\lambda_1^2 - AM(M-1)\lambda_2^2 + (1-A)\lambda_3^2 NM\Big]c_0. \tag{B17}$$

Using the identity in (25) we now compute

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{G}\frac{\partial G}{\partial \beta} = \lambda_1 \sum_{j<k}[c_1 - f(x_j - x_k)] + \lambda_2 \sum_{J<K}[c_1 - f(y_J - y_K)] - \lambda_3 \sum_{j,K}[c_1 - f(x_j - y_K)] = \\ \frac{1}{2}[N(N-1)\lambda_1 + M(M-1)\lambda_2 - 2NM\lambda_3]c_1 \\ -\lambda_1 \sum_{j<k} f(y_J - y_K) - \lambda_2 \sum_{J<K} f(y_J - y_K) + \lambda_3 \sum_{j,K} f(x_j - y_K)\end{aligned} \tag{B18}$$

We thus see that we can write Eq. (B15) in the following form

$$\Big[H_{\lambda_1,N}(\mathbf{x}) - AH_{\lambda_2,M}(\mathbf{y}) - \tilde{C}_0 + C\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}\Big]G = 0 \tag{B19}$$

provided that

$$C_i = C\lambda_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{B20}$$

In this case

$$\tilde{C}_0 = C_0 + C\frac{1}{2}[N(N-1)\lambda_1 + M(M-1)\lambda_2 - 2NM\lambda_3]c_1. \tag{B21}$$

Interestingly, the the conditions in (B12), (B13) and (B20) have two non-trivial solutions. Firstly,

$$A = 1, \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 \equiv \lambda, \tag{B22}$$

with $C = 2(N-M)\lambda$ and $\tilde{C}_0 = c_{N,M}$ given in Eq. (8), and secondly,

$$A = -\lambda, \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda, \quad \lambda_2 = 1/\lambda, \quad \lambda_3 = -1 \tag{B23}$$

with $C = 2(\lambda N + M)$ and $\tilde{C}_0 = \tilde{c}_{N,M}$ in Eq. (12). Obviously these two cases correspond to the identities given in Eqs. (6)–(11), and we have completed our proof. □

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to E. Sklyanin and N. Nekrasov for expressing their interest in the identities presented in the paper and thus motivating me to work them out properly. This work was supported by the Swedish Science Research Council (VR), the Göran Gustafsson Foundation, and the European grant "ENIGMA".

References

[B] Bernard D.: On the Wess-Zumino-Witten models on the torus, *Nucl. Phys. B* **303**, 77 (1988)

[C] Calogero F.: Solution of the one-dimensional N body problems with quadratic and/or inversely quadratic pair potentials, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 419 (1971)

[CL] Carey A.L. and Langmann E.: Loop groups, anyons and the Calogero-Sutherland model. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **201** 1 (1999)

[EK] Etingof P. I. and Kirillov A. A.: On the affine analog of Jack's and Macdonald's polynomials, *Duke Math. J.* **78**, 229 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9403168]

[EFK] Etingof P. I., Frenkel I. B., and Kirillov A. A.: Spherical functions on affine Lie groups, *Duke Math. J.* **80**, 59 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9407047]

[FV] Felder G. and Varchenko A.: Integral representation of solutions of the elliptic Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard equations, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **5**, 221 (1995)

[FW] Felder G. and Weiczerkowski C.: Conformal blocks on elliptic curves and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **176** (1996) 133 [arXiv:hep-th/9411004].

[KMS] Kuznetsov V. B., Mangazeev V. V. and Sklyanin E. K.: Q-operator and factorised separation chain for Jack's symmetric polynomials, *Indag. Mathem.*, N.S., **14**, 451 (2003) [arXiv:math.ca/0306242]

[L1] Langmann E.: Anyons and the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland model, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **54**, 279 (2000) [`math-ph/0007036`]

[L2] Langmann E.: Second quantization of the elliptic Calogero-Sutherland model, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **247**, 321 (2004) [`math-ph/0102005`]

[L3] Langmann E.: Algorithms to solve the (quantum) Sutherland model, *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 4148 (2001) [`math-ph/0104039`]

[L4] Langmann E.: A perturbative algorithm to solve the (quantum) elliptic Calogero-Sutherland model, `math-ph/0401029`

[OS] Olshanetsky M.A. and Perelomov A.M.: Quantum completely integrable systems connected with semisimple Lie algebras, Lett. Math. Phys. **2**, 7 (1977)

[Sk] Sklyanin E. K.: Separation Of Variables – New Trends, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **118**, 35 (1995)

[Su] Sutherland B.: Exact results for a quantum many body problem in one-dimension. II. *Phys. Rev.* **A5** 1372 (1972)

[W] Wen X. G.: Chiral Luttinger liquid and the edge excitations in the fractional Quantum Hall states, Phys. Rev. **B41**, 12838 (1990)

[WW] Whitaker E. T. and Watson G. N.: *Course of modern analysis, 4th edition.* Cambridge Univ. Press (1958)